# **Class Rule Changes**

## A submission from the International Europe Class

#### **CURRENT COUNCIL POSITION**

### Council Minutes, June 2004

Consistent with minute 3(o)(iii) of their meetings 14-15 November 2003, Council noted a recommendation from the Centreboard Boat Committee to defer submission 234-03 for consideration in November 2004, when the in-house certification project will be finalised.

## Council Minutes, November 2003

Council considered the recommendations from the Centreboard Boat Committee and noted the opinions of the other committees on submissions 223-03 to 267-03, which relate to class rule changes. (The original text for each submission can be found on the ISAF website at http://www.sailing.org/submissions/)

#### Decision

On a proposal by Charles Cook (representative for Group P), seconded by Joe Butterfield (representative for Group L), Council accepted the recommendations from the Centreboard Boat Committee as follows:

[... unrelated text omitted ...]

Submission 234-03 - Deferred to the Centreboard Boat Committee for further review [... unrelated text omitted ...]

For submission 234-03, Council ask the Centreboard Boat Committee to review the submission and make a final recommendation for consideration at the Mid Year Meetings 2004.

[... unrelated text omitted ...]

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS, NOVEMBER 2003**

# Recommendation from the reporting committee

Centreboard Boat Committee

Recommend deferring the submission.

#### ORIGINAL SUBMISSION

REPORTING COMMITTEE – CENTREBOARD BOAT OTHER COMMITTEE –

#### Proposal:

Draft 18. 7. 2003 - Still to be confirmed by the AGM.

Simpler Forms for masts and booms.

Page 1 of 2 Last updated: 30 June 2004

### **Current Position:**

[None]

## Reason:

When the actual forms were done, it was ISAF politics to be against In-house Measurement.

ISAF politics now changes in favour of In-house Measurement.

So the forms could be made simpler.

This in a way, that the producer only sign one time for his product and the figures entered in the Measurement Form.

The today needed additional signature of an Official Measurer is only needed for those countries, where the National Authority especially ask for it.

This proposal was already entered in 2002, but the discussions about In-house Measurement were not yet precise enough at this time.

Following the recommendation of the Centreboard Boat Committee the submission was then withdrawn.

None of these proposals changes or modifies part of the boat or equipment.

Page 2 of 2 Last updated: 30 June 2004